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ABSTRACT

Since 1992 discussions about the South African health care system highlighted the need for including traditional healers, because 80% of South Africans access them. Nonetheless, there is little formal collaboration between allopathic and traditional health practitioners.

The purpose of the study was to identify traditional healers’ awareness of occupational therapy, their use of occupations in their interventions, the allopathic health practitioners’ perception of traditional healers’ role in managing patients with mental illness and whether referrals occur between the two health systems.

Convenient sampling was used to select research participants. Data for this descriptive study were gathered by means of a survey questionnaire containing open and closed-ended questions. Data were presented using descriptive statistics.

This pilot study suggests that traditional healers value occupations such as personal care and some use occupations in their practice. Results indicate, however that traditional healers are not as familiar with occupational therapists as they are with community rehabilitation workers. The study also suggests mistrust on the part of allopathic and traditional health practitioners of each other. In the absence of research into cooperation between traditional healers and occupational therapists, this study is valuable in identifying research questions about the use and value of occupation.
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INTRODUCTION

Allopathy, for the purpose of this paper, refers to “the treatment of disease by conventional means”1,3 and is used in the broadest sense of the word and includes persons who are traditional part of the multi-disciplinary team working with mental health care users, i.e. psychiatrists, medical practitioners, psychiatric nurses, occupational therapists, psychologists and social workers. Here it is used in contrast to traditional healing carried out by practitioners of traditional African medicine in the community in which illness is thought to be the result of witchcraft, contact with impure objects or through neglect of the ancestors2. The term allopathic in reference to mainstream health care was preferred to “western”, which is also found in the literature, because it was perceived to be more neutral.

The traditional health practitioners’ (THPs’) role in their communities in South Africa has long been acknowledged. Although the practice of traditional healing was illegal1,3 in terms of the Health Professions Act4 (HPA) prior to the democratisation of the country, there were organisations which registered THPs5 and THPs continued to practice in their communities6. It is reported that allopathic health care practitioners turned a blind eye to THPs and in some cases even collaborated with them informally7. The publication of the White Paper on the Transformation of the Health System7 in 1997 effectively decriminalised traditional health care and placed the role of THPs firmly on the health care transformation agenda7.

Despite reported informal collaboration between allopathic practitioners and THPs, there are no published studies on collaboration or even mutual points of interest between occupational therapists and THPs. Very little is known about THPs’ knowledge of occupational therapy or the importance (if any) of occupation in traditional healing. In an open and democratic South Africa, greater collaboration in the interest of the patient will become increasingly necessary between occupational therapists and THPs, but this is difficult to establish in the current environment where there is no information available about practitioners’ perceptions of each other, or of their knowledge of the other’s profession.

LITERATURE REVIEW

THPs are recognised as an integral part of their communities, where they are highly regarded and often shape their communities’ thinking5. Ordinarily, a distinction is made in the literature between three types of THPs: the traditional doctor/herbalist or inyanga, who has an extensive knowledge of herbs and herbal treatment2,3; the diviner or sangoma who makes use of divination by consulting the ancestral spirits and the bones to diagnose and treat patients2,5, and faith healers, umprofethi or umthandazi, who mix Christian practices with traditional beliefs2,3. While some authors such as Ross3 assert that ordinarily the inyanga does not use divination and that the diviners do not use herbs5. Freeman and Motsi2 indicate that the classification of THPs is artificial. Some diviners do use herbs to treat and some traditional doctors do make use of divination7. Kale5 presented a breakdown of these three categories, as can be seen in Table I on page 21.

The Traditional Health Practitioners Act6 (THPA) as well as authors such as Ross3, Kale5 and Peltzer16 recognise two further categories of THPs, i.e. the traditional birth attendant, who must have at least two of her own children5,16 and who helps during the birthing process2,5,9, and the traditional surgeon who performs circumcision during initiation rituals5,16.

The literature is divided on the proportion of the population which accesses THPs. Figures as diverse as 80%3,5, 60-80%3,6 and 0.1% of the population10 are quoted. Pelzer, however, indicates that between 1995 and 2007 nationally representative studies show a decline in use of THPs as follows: between 1995 and 1998 3.6-12% of people accessed THPs, whereas 0.1% or fewer people...
accessed THPs between 2005 and 2007. However, he provides no reasons for this decline.

It should be borne in mind that THPs form part of the private health care sector and that patients have to make out-of-pocket payments to them (medical aid schemes do not cover THPs’ services). A decline in accessing THPs could be attributed to factors such as the expense of out-of-pocket payments to THPs and/or increased coverage of public health services and specifically primary health care services. Unfortunately there does not seem to be literature investigating reasons for this decline in accessing traditional health care services.

As early as 1992, shortly after the unbanning of political organisations including the African National Congress and the Pan Africanist Congress, scholars such as Freeman and Motsei discussed possible models that would allow for the integration of THPs into the health care system. Freeman and Motsei discussed three possible models: incorporation, where THPs are incorporated usually into the primary health care system where they are “first-line” practitioners; cooperation/collaboration, where the traditional and allopathic health care systems essentially remain independent and keep their individual methods of operation, but they recognise the value and contribution of the other and adopt a system of mutual referral; and total integration, which will require the development of a new health care system where traditional and allopathic health systems are intermingled and thus patients would receive treatment from both.

This discussion on the integration of the THPs into the health care system remains relevant in South Africa, where there are not enough allopathic health care practitioners to render services to all South Africans. Furthermore, an increase in health care personnel is required for service delivery in the planned National Health Insurance scheme. Peltzer indicates that while the National Department of Health (NDOH) supports collaboration between the allopathic health system and THPs on primary health care and HIV/AIDS prevention and education, the NDOH does not endorse referrals from the allopathic health sector to THPs. Their reasons for refusing to permit or facilitate referrals between allopathic health practitioners and THPs are that THPs and their medications are not accepted to be literature investigating reasons for this decline in accessing traditional health care services.

As early as 1992, shortly after the unbanning of political organisations including the African National Congress and the Pan Africanist Congress, scholars such as Freeman and Motsei discussed possible models that would allow for the integration of THPs into the health care system. Freeman and Motsei discussed three possible models: incorporation, where THPs are incorporated usually into the primary health care system where they are “first-line” practitioners; cooperation/collaboration, where the traditional and allopathic health care systems essentially remain independent and keep their individual methods of operation, but they recognise the value and contribution of the other and adopt a system of mutual referral; and total integration, which will require the development of a new health care system where traditional and allopathic health systems are intermingled and thus patients would receive treatment from both.

This discussion on the integration of the THPs into the health care system remains relevant in South Africa, where there are not enough allopathic health care practitioners to render services to all South Africans. Furthermore, an increase in health care personnel is required for service delivery in the planned National Health Insurance scheme. Peltzer indicates that while the National Department of Health (NDOH) supports collaboration between the allopathic health system and THPs on primary health care and HIV/AIDS prevention and education, the NDOH does not endorse referrals from the allopathic health sector to THPs. Their reasons for refusing to permit or facilitate referrals between allopathic health practitioners and THPs are that THPs and their medications are not accepted.

Studies have reported that patients often view the causes of disease, especially mental illness, differently from allopathic practitioners, viewing it to have cultural causes and not biological or genetic causes as allopathic practitioners may assert. Cultural causes of mental illness may include bewitching, rape and “thinking too much” and because of the way in which this illness is viewed (i.e., as having a cultural cause), patients may rather consult THPs. Surprisingly, Petersen et al reported that approximately two-thirds of psychiatric patients consulted both the allopathic health care system and THPs. This suggests that there ought to be greater collaboration, or at least cooperation, between allopathic practitioners and THPs in the field of mental health.

**Objectives of the study**

The study aimed to investigate:

1. The perceptions of THPs regarding the importance of occupations such as work, personal care and leisure in the treatment of persons with mental illness and whether THPs use occupation-based activities in their treatment;
2. Their awareness of occupational therapy and community-based rehabilitation workers (CRWs) in the treatment of mental illness;
3. The perceptions of members of the allopathic medical team who work with mental health care patients of the role of THPs in mental health and
4. Whether referrals occur between the two health care systems.

**METHOD**

**Study Design**

A descriptive cross-sectional survey study design with a mixed method was employed. Because no prior occupational therapy research exists in this area, it was decided to conduct a pilot study to which a descriptive design was best suited as it would enable the researchers to describe findings so as to identify further research questions.
### Study Sample

Research sites were selected based on convenience sampling, related to the places where four of the researchers were placed during fieldwork rotations in their final year of study. All health professionals who agreed to participate at those sites were included in the sample. Research participants were from Gauteng, Limpopo, and Mpumalanga provinces and included both rural and urban areas. Health care practitioners who do not ordinarily work with mental health patients were excluded. Table II above illustrates the number of allopathic practitioners who participated in the study, per profession.

### Traditional Health Practitioners

Originally it was thought that THPs could be identified through the Interim Traditional Health Professions Council register, but at the time of the research the Council was not yet operational. THPs were therefore identified by reference from community members living in the vicinity of the research sites; however as Table I above shows it is difficult to obtain access to some THPs. Sixteen THPs participated in the study (see Table II).  

### Allopathic practitioners

In total 34 health professionals from a variety of professions at six sites were included in the sample (see Table II). Health care practitioners who did not work with mental health patients were excluded.

### Data collection and analysis

Survey questionnaires containing closed and open-ended questions were used to gather data. Separate questionnaires were developed for use with the allopathic medical team and the THPs by the researchers. The surveys were checked for content and clarity by an experienced researcher in the Occupational Therapy Department of the University of the Witwatersrand. Questionnaires were initially sent to hospital-based participants by facsimile, but due to a very low return rate the questionnaires were hand-delivered to allopathic practitioners at the research sites as well as the THPs.

Questionnaires were collected from the allopathic practitioners in blank envelopes, but the THPs were found to have limited proficiency in English and therefore could not complete the questionnaires independently. Consequently, the questionnaires were used as the basis for structured interviews with the THPs in a language with which they were familiar. The researchers, who spoke a number of different South African languages, translated the questionnaires during the interviews, but did not deviate from the questions of the survey although at times they had to ask for elaboration.

Data from the closed-ended questions were analysed using descriptive statistics and is-represented in terms of percentages. Data from the open-ended questions were analysed according to the questions, by tabulating the responses using key words, as well as using descriptive statistics and percentages.

### Ethical considerations

Participation was voluntary and confidential. Written consent was obtained from allopathic and THP participants to ensure voluntariness. To facilitate informed consent, the consent forms were explained verbally to THPs who could not read English. The consent forms were kept separately from the questionnaires so as to ensure confidentiality. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Wits Human Research Ethics Committee to conduct this research.

### RESULTS

#### Results: Traditional Health Practitioners

Twenty-five per cent of THPs (n=4) indicated that they were aware of occupational therapy and 62.5% (n=10) that they were aware of community rehabilitation workers. Following the closed ended question of whether the participants were aware of occupational therapists and community rehabilitation workers, participants were asked how they thought occupational therapists and community rehabilitation workers helped patients. While 62.5% (n=10) participants indicated that they did not know, one participant indicated that he trains the family to care for the disabled person. A further 25% (n=4) participants said that occupational therapists and community rehabilitation workers do home visits. One of the participants said that he helped people who do not have care givers.

The results of this study also suggest that THPs view occupations as important. Personal hygiene and self-care were rated by 87.5% (n=14) as important to address with patients, whereas 81.25% (n=13) participants viewed addressing leisure as important and 75% (n=12) participants indicated that work and home / family maintenance respectively were important. Interestingly, one THP felt that a patient’s work is a good indicator of their rehabilitation.

### Table II: Participants in the study, per profession

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions represented</th>
<th>Number of participants (n)</th>
<th>Professions represented</th>
<th>Number of participants (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inyanga</td>
<td>56.25% (9)</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>8.82% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangoma</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>Nursing assistant</td>
<td>11.77% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional health pract</td>
<td>18.75% (3)</td>
<td>Occupational Therapist</td>
<td>11.77% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational Therapy mid-level workers</td>
<td>11.77% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>5.88% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatric nurse</td>
<td>38.24% (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
<td>5.88% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social worker</td>
<td>5.88% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III: Traditional health care participants who view specific occupations as important and who include occupation-based activities in their treatment of mental health care users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions represented</th>
<th>Number of participants</th>
<th>Personal hygiene and self-care home and family</th>
<th>Survival skills e.g. maintaining</th>
<th>Leisure skills</th>
<th>Work skills</th>
<th>Number of participants who include occupation-based activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inyanga</td>
<td>56.25% (9)</td>
<td>50% (8)</td>
<td>37.5% (6)</td>
<td>43.75% (7)</td>
<td>37.5% (6)</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangoma</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional health pract</td>
<td>18.75% (3)</td>
<td>12.5% (2)</td>
<td>12.5% (2)</td>
<td>12.5% (2)</td>
<td>12.5% (2)</td>
<td>12.5% (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the patient’s state of mind and another thought that he knew that a patient was better if they could buy the correct goods at the spaza shop and return with the correct amount of change. However, another THP indicated that he did not use occupation-based activities, because patients needed care from others. As can be seen from Table III on page 22, fewer THPs than those who indicated that occupations are important actually incorporate occupation-based activities in their treatment.

Of the THPs who participated in this study, 81.25% (n=13) referred patients to allopathic practitioners (Table IV). On the open-ended question about the benefit patients would get from referral to clinics and hospitals, the THPs indicated that the benefits mostly related to their access to medication and medical treatment (62.5% (n=10) participants), including sedation of aggressive patients for alleviation of pain. Two participants (12.5%) indicated that allopathic medicine helps with blood transfusions and stopping excessive bleeding.

A number of participants felt that there were disadvantages in referring to allopathic practitioners. Three participants (18.75%) indicated that allopathic medicine cannot treat evil spirits and another three participants felt that allopathic practitioners’ treatment is not permanent. Two participants (12.5%) reported that allopathic medicine cannot remove bad luck or misfortune and one participant was concerned that there was no referral back to the THP. Two participants reported that allopathic practitioners advise patients not to return for traditional health consultations.

Results: Allopathic health care practitioners

Approximately 100 questionnaires were sent to allopathic practitioners (including psychiatric nurses, occupational therapists, psychiatrists and psychologists), of which 37 were returned from the allopathic medical health care practitioners. Three of these had to be discarded, because only alternate pages were transmitted when they were returned to the researchers by facsimile.

Fifty per cent (n=17) participants indicated that THPs have a role with mental health care patients, whereas 29.41% (n=10) participants indicated they have no role and 17.65% (n=6) participants were unsure of whether they have a role. Of those participants who indicated that THPs have a role in mental health, 26.47% (n=9) indicated that THPs’ role is cultural, 11.77% (n=4) indicated that the role is to work together with allopathic practitioners and 8.82% (n=3) indicated that it is to administer traditional medication.

Only 11.77% (n=4) participants indicated that they refer to THPs (Figure 1). Participants who do not refer to THPs gave a variety of reasons for not doing so. Three participants (8.82%) indicated that the policy environment does not allow for or facilitate referral, and two (5.88%) (n=2) participants indicated that they do not know of any THPs. Three participants (8.82%) indicated that patients have a choice as to which health care facility they access and that health care practitioners should not influence a patient’s decision and another three participants (8.82%) indicated that patients relapse when they consult with THPs and therefore they do not refer. Two participants (5.88%) indicated that patients cannot benefit from THPs and another two (5.88%) indicated that they will not refer because they do not believe in traditional healing. Other reasons for not referring included the lack of scientific basis (2.94% (n=1) participants) and the danger of interaction between traditional medicine and allopathic drugs (2.94% (n=1) participants).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study show interesting, heretofore unexplored points of mutual interest between occupational therapy and traditional healing. No research has considered the THPs’ view on occupations and the inclusion of activities in their assessments and understanding of the patient with a mental illness before. This provides an important opportunity for collaboration between occupational therapists and THPs. Puckree et al.11 reported comparable findings in relation to the profession of physiotherapy, i.e. that traditional healers use techniques similar to steaming, hot compresses and massage as used by physiotherapists. There is, however, no research on any similarities in the treatment offered by traditional healers and occupational therapists, although both consider the psychosocial and physical outcomes for the patient.

Table IV: THPs and allopathic practitioners who participated in the research project who refer to the other group of health providers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professions represented</th>
<th>Participants who refer to other practitioners or a hospital or clinic % (n)</th>
<th>Professions represented</th>
<th>Participants who refer to Traditional Health Practitioners (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Health Practitioners</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allopathic practitioners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inyanga</td>
<td>37.5% (6)</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sangoma</td>
<td>25% (4)</td>
<td>Nursing assistant</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional health practitioner</td>
<td>18.75% (3)</td>
<td>Occupational Therapist</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Occupational Therapy mid-level workers</td>
<td>2.94% (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychiatric nurse</td>
<td>8.82% (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Social worker</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Professionals who refer to the other health system

![Figure 1](image-url)
even though their beliefs about the cause of the health problems differ. Despite this, there seems to be little collaboration between rehabilitation specialists such as occupational and physiotherapists, and THPs.

Undoubtedly, the delay in regulating THPs, and the NDOH’s subsequent reluctance to sanction a formal referral system between THPs and allopathic practitioners is making it difficult for any practitioners in these systems to collaborate with each other—which this study confirms. However, delays in official recognition of collaboration should not stifle informal collaboration, although referral at this stage seems more one-sided (Figure 1) with THPs recognise some benefits of allopathic health care. This research indicates willingness on the part of the THPS for patients to access both types of care, but the allopathic health care practitioners on the other hand do not appear to recognise the benefits and importance of THPs in providing health care services. Interestingly, of those allopathic practitioners who participated in this study, only professional nurses and an occupational therapy technician referred to traditional healers. This may be reflective of those practitioners’ recognition of the patients’ psychosocial needs.

There is no question that there are not enough allopathic health care practitioners to adequately address the health care needs in South Africa². With up to 80% of the population accessing THPs²,¹² and many patients reported to access both allopathic and traditional health care services simultaneously, it is imperative that allopathic and THPs find ways to work together, to the benefit of the patient.

Occupational therapists should also capitalise on the points of mutual interest between occupational therapy and traditional healing to embark on research to improve understanding of how THPs provide treatment, so that communication and collaboration with them can be increased to ensure adequate health care for all South Africans, at least at primary health care level. The importance of providing collaborative support in the presence of limited occupational therapy services in conjunction with community rehabilitation workers and other support staff needs to be considered.

CONCLUSION
Unfortunately little progress has been made to date in regulating traditional healing in South Africa. As a result, a large barrier to creating an integrated health care system to the benefit of the patient cannot be removed. While the sample of this study is small, this study found that some THPs use occupations and occupation-based activities as an indicator of a patient’s improvement. This mutual interest between occupational therapy and traditional healing should be used as an opportunity to collaborate with THPs. Further research should be conducted regarding THPs and the use of occupation, as well as possible collaboration between occupational therapists and THPs.

Limitation
Because this study is small, the results are not generalisable.
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